arXiv:1612.06541v1 [math.CT] 20 Dec 2016

A cubical Squier's theorem

Maxime LUCAS*

Convergent rewriting systems are well-known tools in the study of the word-rewriting problem. In particular, a presentation of a monoid by a finite convergent rewriting system gives an algorithm to decide the word problem for this monoid. In [5], [6], Squier proved that there exists a finitely presented monoid whose word problem was decidable but which did not admit a finite convergent presentation. To do so, Squier constructed, for any convergent presentation (G, R) of a monoid M, a set of syzygies S corresponding to relations between the relations. This construction was later extended (see [3]) into the construction of a polygraphic resolution Σ of M, whose first dimensions coincide with Squier's construction (G, R, S).

However, the construction of the polygraphic resolution has proved to be too complicated to be effectively computed on non-trivial examples. Cubical categories appear to be a promising framework where Squier' theorem and the construction of the polygraphic resolution would be more straightforward.

This paper is the first step towards this goal. We start by defining the notion of $(2, k)$-cubical categories. We then adapt some classical notions of word rewriting to this cubical setting. Finally, we express and prove a cubical version of Squier's theorem.

1 Cubical 2-categories

Definition 1.1. A cubical 2-set consists of:

- Sets $\mathcal{C}_0$, $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$, whose objects are respectively called the 0, 1 and 2-cells.
- Applications $\partial^+, \partial^- : \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_0$.
- Applications $\partial_1^+, \partial_1^-, \partial_2^+, \partial_2^- : \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{C}_1$.

Satisfying the following relations for any $\alpha, \beta \in \{+, -\}$:

$$\partial^\alpha \partial_2^\beta = \partial^\beta \partial_1^\alpha.$$

Notation 1.2. We represent a 1-cell f in the following way : $\partial^- f \xrightarrow{f} \partial^+ f$, and a 2-cell A as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\partial_1^- A} & \\ \partial_2^- A \downarrow & A & \downarrow \partial_2^+ A \\ & \xrightarrow[\partial_1^+ A]{} & \end{array}$$

Cubical 2-categories. A cubical 2-category is a cubical 2-set equipped with extra structure. See [1] for a formal definition. We give here a run-down of the structure.

- An operation $\star$ sending any two 1-cells $x \xrightarrow{f} y \xrightarrow{g} z$ to a 1-cell $x \xrightarrow{f \star g} z$.
- An operation ϵ sending any 0-cell x to a 1-cell $x \xrightarrow{\epsilon x} x$, which we usually represent by $x = x$.

*Univ. Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, IRIF, UMR 8243 CNRS, PiR2, INRIA Paris-Rocquencourt, F-75205 Paris, France - maxime.lucas@pps.univ-paris-diderot.fr

- An operation $\star_1$ (resp. $\star_2$) associating, to any 2-cells $\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \longrightarrow \\ \downarrow A \downarrow \\ \longrightarrow \\ \hline \end{array}$ and $\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \longrightarrow \\ \downarrow B \downarrow \\ \longrightarrow \\ \hline \end{array}$ satisfying $\partial_1^+ A = \partial_1^- B$ (resp. $\partial_2^+ A = \partial_2^- B$), 2-cells

$$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \longrightarrow \\ \downarrow A \star_1 B \downarrow \\ \longrightarrow \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{|cc|}\hline \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow \\ \downarrow A \star_2 B \downarrow \\ \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow \\ \hline \end{array}$$

- Operations $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 : \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ sending any 1-cell $\xrightarrow{f}$ to 2-cells $\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \xrightarrow{f} \\ \| \epsilon_1 f \| \\ \xrightarrow[f]{} \\ \hline \end{array}$ and $\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \xrightarrow{f} \\ \downarrow \epsilon_2 f \downarrow f \\ \xrightarrow[f]{} \\ \hline \end{array}$.

- Operations $\Gamma^-, \Gamma^+ : \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ sending any 1-cell $\xrightarrow{f}$ to 2-cells $\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \xrightarrow{f} \\ f \downarrow \Gamma^- f \| \\ \xrightarrow[\quad]{} \\ \hline \end{array}$ and $\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \xrightarrow{f} \\ \| \Gamma^+ f \downarrow f \\ \xrightarrow[f]{} \\ \hline \end{array}$.

Those operations have to satisfy a number of axioms. In particular, $(\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_1, \partial^-, \partial^+, \star, \epsilon)$ and $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \partial_i^-, \partial_i^+, \star_i, \epsilon_i)$ (for $i = 1, 2$) are categories.

Remark 1.3. The cells Γ^α and ϵ_i are completely characterised by their faces. Hence we will omit them when the context is clear in the rest of this paper.

Cubical $(2,1)$-categories. A cubical $(2,1)$-category is given by a cubical 2-category $\mathcal{C}$ equipped with an operation $T : \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ sending any 2-cell $\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \xrightarrow{\partial_1^- A} \\ \partial_2^- A \downarrow A \downarrow \partial_2^+ A \\ \xrightarrow[\partial_1^+ A]{} \\ \hline \end{array}$ to a 2-cell of shape $\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \xrightarrow{\partial_2^- A} \\ \partial_1^- A \downarrow TA \downarrow \partial_1^+ A \\ \xrightarrow[\partial_2^+ A]{} \\ \hline \end{array}$ such that $T^2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}_2}$ and:

$$\begin{array}{|cc|}\hline = & \longrightarrow \\ \| \quad \downarrow TA \downarrow \\ \downarrow A \downarrow \quad \| \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|cc|}\hline \longrightarrow & = \\ \downarrow \quad \| & \| \quad \| \\ \| \quad \| & \| \quad \downarrow \\ \hline \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Remark 1.4. The operation $A \mapsto TA$ corresponds to the operation $A \mapsto A^{-1}$ in a globular setting. The equation $T^2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}_2}$ corresponds to the equality $(A^{-1})^{-1}$ and the axiom (1) corresponds to the relation $A \star_1 A^{-1} = 1$.

Cubical 2-groupoid. A cubical 2-groupoid is a cubical 2-category such that $(\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_1)$ is a groupoid (we note $\xleftarrow{f}$ the inverse of a cell $\xrightarrow{f}$) and equipped with operations $S_1, S_2 : \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{C}_2$, sending any 2-cell $\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \xrightarrow{\partial_1^- A} \\ \partial_2^- A \downarrow A \downarrow \partial_2^+ A \\ \xrightarrow[\partial_1^+ A]{} \\ \hline \end{array}$ to 2-cells of shape:

$$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \xrightarrow{\partial_1^+ A} \\ \partial_2^- A \uparrow S_1 A \uparrow \partial_2^+ A \\ \xrightarrow[\partial_1^- A]{} \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \xleftarrow{\partial_1^- A} \\ \partial_2^+ A \downarrow S_2 A \downarrow \partial_2^- A \\ \xleftarrow[\partial_1^+ A]{} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

So that $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \partial_i^-, \partial_i^+, \star_i, \epsilon_i, S_i)$ is a groupoid for $i = 1, 2$.

Though the proof is not as straightforward as in the globular case, we still have the following result.

Proposition 1.5. *A cubical 2-groupoid is a cubical $(2,1)$-category.*

2 Word rewriting

We now apply the structures defined in the previous section to word rewriting.

Definition 2.1. A cubical $(3,2)$-monoid is a cubical $(2,1)$-category object in the category of monoids. A $(3,1)$-monoid is a cubical 2-groupoid object in the category of monoids.

Example 2.2. In a $(3,2)$-monoid (resp. $(3,1)$-monoid) $\mathcal{C}$, the sets $\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$ are equipped with a structure of monoid, and all the operations on the cells defined previously are morphisms of monoids. In particular, for every 1-cells $f : u \to u'$ and $g : v \to v'$ in $\mathcal{C}_1$, there is a 1-cell $fg : uv \to vv'$ in $\mathcal{C}_1$. The fact that ϵ_i is a morphism of monoids implies that $\epsilon_i(fg) = (\epsilon_i f)(\epsilon_i g)$.

Polygraphs are presentations for higher-dimensional globular categories and were introduced by Burroni [2] and by Street under the name of computads [7] [8]. We adapt them here to present cubical (n,k)-monoids.

Definition 2.3. For any set E, we denote by E^* the free monoid on E. A cubical 2-polygraph Σ is given by two sets Σ_0, Σ_1, together with maps $\partial^\alpha : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_0^*$ (for $\alpha = \pm$).

We denote by Σ^* (resp. $\Sigma^\top$) the free 2-monoid (resp. $(2,1)$-monoid) generated by Σ.

Definition 2.4. A cubical $(3,2)$-polygraph (resp. $(3,1)$-polygraph) is given by three sets Σ_0, Σ_1 and Σ_2, together with maps $\partial^\alpha : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_0^*$ and $\partial_i^\alpha : \Sigma_2 \to \Sigma_1^*$ (resp. $\partial_i^\alpha : \Sigma_2 \to \Sigma_1^\top$).

We denote by Σ^* (resp. $\Sigma^\top$) the free $(3,2)$-monoid (resp. the free $(3,1)$-monoid) generated by Σ.

Example 2.5. If Σ is a cubical $(3,2)$-polygraph, the cells of Σ and Σ^* together with the faces operations can be visualized as follows (a similar diagram could be drawn for $\Sigma^\top$):



The notion of cubical 2-monoid coincides with the notion of (globular) 2-monoid as defined by Burroni in [2], and a cubical 2-polygraph is a particular case of (globular) 2-polygraph. As a consequence, the following classical definitions and results come directly from classical word rewriting theory [4].

Definition 2.6. Let Σ be a cubical 2-polygraph. A *rewriting step* in Σ_1^* is a 1-cell of the form ufv, where f is in Σ_1, and u and v are elements of Σ_0^*.

Definition 2.7. Let Σ be a cubical 2-polygraph. It is *confluent* if for any 1-cells f and g in Σ_1^* with the same source, there exist 1-cells f' and g' in Σ_1^* with the same target and such that $\partial^+ f = \partial^- f'$ and $\partial^+ g = \partial^- g'$.

It is *terminating* if there is no infinite sequence of rewriting steps $f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots$ satisfying that $\partial^+ f_i = \partial^- f_{i+1}$ for all i.

It is *convergent* if it is both terminating and confluent.

Definition 2.8. Let Σ be a cubical 2-polygraph. A *branching* is a pair of 1-cells $f, g \in \Sigma_1^*$ with the same source. It is said to be *local* if f and g are rewriting steps.

Up to permutation of f and g, there are three distinct types of local branchings:

- If $f = g$, (f,g) is said to be an *aspherical branching*.
- If there exists $f', g' \in \Sigma_1^*$ and $u, v \in \Sigma_0^*$ such that $f = f'v$ and $g = ug'$ with $\partial^- f' = u$ and $\partial^- g' = v$, (f,g) is said to be a *Peiffer branching*.
- Otherwise, (f,g) is said to be an *overlapping branching*.

Finally a *critical branching* is a minimal overlapping branching, where overlapping branchings are ordered by the (well-founded) relation: $(f,g) \leqslant (ufv, ugv)$ for $u, v \in \Sigma_0^*$

3 Squier's theorem

Before stating Squier's theorem, we need to define the cubical analogue to the notion of globe.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cubical 2-category. A *shell* over $\mathcal{C}_1$ is a family of cells f_i^α in $\mathcal{C}_1$, ($i = 1, 2$ and $\alpha = +, -$) satisfying $\partial^\alpha f_2^\beta = \partial^\beta f_1^\alpha$ for every α and β.

A *filler* in $\mathcal{C}_2$ of a shell $S = (f_i^\alpha)$ over $\mathcal{C}_1$ is a cell $A \in \mathcal{C}_2$ satisfying $\partial_i^\alpha A = f_i^\alpha$ for every i and α.

The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 3.2 (Cubical Squier's theorem)**.** *Let Σ be a convergent cubical $(3,2)$-polygraph. Suppose that for every critical pair (f_1, f_2) of Σ, there exists (up to exchange of f_1 and f_2) a 2-cell in Σ_2 whose shell is of the form:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \cdot \\ {\scriptstyle f_2}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \cdot & \xrightarrow{} & \cdot \end{array}$$

Then every shell S over $\Sigma_1^\top$ admits a filler in $\Sigma_2^\top$.

The proof of this result occupies the rest of this article and loosely follows the proof of the globular case from [4].

Lemma 3.3. *For every local branching (f_1, f_2), there exists a cell A in Σ_2^* such that $\partial_1^- A = f_1$ and $\partial_2^- A = f_2$. So A is of the following shape:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \cdot \\ {\scriptstyle f_2}\downarrow & A & \downarrow \\ \cdot & \xrightarrow{} & \cdot \end{array}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the globular case, by distinguishing cases depending on the form of the branching (f_1, f_2). Note first that if A is a suitable cell for the branching (f_1, f_2), then TA satisfies the conditions for the branching (f_2, f_1), and uAv for the branching (uf_1v, uf_2v). So by hypothesis on Σ_2, it remains to show that the property holds for aspherical and Peiffer branchings.

If $(f_1, f_2) = (f, f)$ is an aspherical branching, then the 2-cell $\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{f} & \cdot \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & \Gamma^- f & \| \\ \cdot & = & \cdot \end{array}$ satisfies the condition.

If $(f_1, f_2) = (fv, ug)$ is a Peiffer branching, then the 2-cell $(\epsilon_1 f)(\epsilon_2 g)$ satisfies the condition:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{f} & \cdot \\ {\scriptstyle u}\| & \epsilon_1 f & \|{\scriptstyle u'} \\ \cdot & \xrightarrow{f} & \cdot \end{array} \cdot \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{v} & \cdot \\ {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & \epsilon_2 g & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ \cdot & \xrightarrow{v'} & \cdot \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{fv} & \cdot \\ {\scriptstyle ug}\downarrow & (\epsilon_1 f)(\epsilon_2 g) & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u'g} \\ \cdot & \xrightarrow{fv'} & \cdot \end{array}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *For every $f, g \in \Sigma_1^*$ of same source and of target a normal form, the shell $\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{g} & \cdot \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \| \\ \cdot & = & \cdot \end{array}$ admits a filler in Σ_1^*.*

Proof. Define the *origin* of a shell (f_i^α) as $\partial^- f_1^- \in \Sigma_0^*$. Let us prove that for any $u \in \Sigma_0^*$, any shell over Σ_1^* of origin u and of the form $\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{g} & \cdot \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \| \\ \cdot & = & \cdot \end{array}$ admits a filler. We reason by induction on u. If u is a normal form, then $f = g = \epsilon u$ and $\epsilon_1 \epsilon u$ is a filler of the shell.

If u is not a normal form, then we can write $f = f_1 \star f_2$ and $g = g_1 \star g_2$ in Σ_1^*, where f_1 and g_1 are rewriting steps. Let A be a 2-cell in Σ_2^* such that $\partial_1^- A = f_1$ and $\partial_2^- A = g_1$ (which exists thanks to

the previous Lemma). Denote $f' = \partial_1^+ A$ and $g' = \partial_2^+ A$. Then we can apply the induction hypothesis to both (f', g_2) and (f_2, g') defining 2-cells B_1 and B_2, and we conclude using the following composite:



□

Lemma 3.5. *For every $f \in \Sigma_1^\top$, and every $g_1, g_2 \in \Sigma_1^*$ of target a normal form, the shell*  *admits a filler in $\Sigma_2^\top$.*

Proof. To prove that the set of 1-cells f satisfying the Lemma is $\Sigma_1^\top$, we show that it contains Σ_1^*, and that it is closed under composition and inverses.

- It contains Σ_1^*. Indeed, let f, g_1 and g_2 be 1-cells in Σ_1^*. We can form the following composite, where the cell A is obtained by the previous Lemma:



- It is stable under composition. Indeed, let $f_1, f_2 \in E$ be two composable 1-cells, and $g_1, g_2 \in \Sigma_1^*$. Let $g_3 \in \Sigma_1^*$ be a 1-cell such that $\partial^- g_3 = \partial^+ f_1$, and whose target is a normal form. Then the following composite shows that $f_1 \star f_2$ is in E, where A_1 and A_2 exist since f_1 and f_2 are in E:



- It is stable under inverses. Indeed, let $f \in E$, and let $g_1, g_2 \in \Sigma_1^*$. We can construct the following cell, where A comes from the fact that f is in E, applied to the pair (g_2, g_1): 

□

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let us fix a shell (f_i^α) over $\Sigma_1^\top$. The following cell is a filler of f_i^α. The 1-cells g_1, g_2, g_3 and g_4 are arbitrary 1-cells in Σ_1^*, with the appropriate source the normal form as target. The cells B_1, B_2, B_3 and B_4 are obtained by the previous Lemma and rotated as needed using T, S_1 and S_2.



□

References

[1] Fahd Ali Al-Agl, Ronald Brown, and Richard Steiner. Multiple categories: the equivalence of a globular and a cubical approach. *Adv. Math.*, 170(1):71–118, 2002.

[2] Albert Burroni. Higher-dimensional word problems with applications to equational logic. *Theoretical computer science*, 115(1):43–62, 1993.

[3] Yves Guiraud and Philippe Malbos. Higher-dimensional normalisation strategies for acyclicity. *Adv. Math.*, 231(3-4):2294–2351, 2012.

[4] Yves Guiraud and Philippe Malbos. Polygraphs of finite derivation type, 2014.

[5] Craig C. Squier. Word problems and a homological finiteness condition for monoids. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 49(1-2):201–217, 1987.

[6] Craig C. Squier, Friedrich Otto, and Yuji Kobayashi. A finiteness condition for rewriting systems. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 131(2):271–294, 1994.

[7] Ross Street. Limits indexed by category-valued 2-functors. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 8(2):149–181, 1976.

[8] Ross Street. The algebra of oriented simplexes. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 49(3):283–335, 1987.